



## **Jammu and Kashmir State Information Commission**

(Constituted under the Right to Information Act, 2009)

**Wazarat Road, near DC Office Jammu, 0191-2520947, 2520937**

**Old Assembly Complex, Srinagar, 0194-2506660, 2506661**

[www.jksic.nic.in](http://www.jksic.nic.in)

\*\*\*\*\*

File No. SIC/J/A/204/2018

Decision No. SIC/J/A/204/2018/211

### **Final Order:**

Appellant : Sh. Sikender Singh, S/o Kaka Singh,  
R/o Hamirpur Sidhar, Tehsil Khour Distt. Jammu.

Respondent : FAA/PIO, Education Department.

Date of Registration : 31-01-2018.

Date of decision : **12-04-2018.**

Decision : Appeal disposed of.

### **Brief Facts:**

The present appeal has arisen out of RTI request filed by Mr. Sikender Singh on 12-10-2017 with Public Information Officer (PIO) Zonal Education Officer (ZEO), Khour seeking information on 11 points. As no information was provided by the PIO, the applicant filed 1<sup>st</sup> appeal before First Appellate Authority (FAA) on 27-11-2017 which was disposed by the FAA on 18-12-2017 directing the PIO to provide the information free of cost to the applicant. The applicant filed 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal before the State Information Commission (SIC) on 01-01-2018 on the ground that no information has been furnished by the PIO.

**Proceeding/Decision:**

The appeal came up for hearing before the State Information Commission (SIC) on 15-03-2018. The appellant was not present during the hearing. The PIO submitted that the information sought by the appellant was provided to him vide communication dated 04-12-2017 through registered post. Since the appellant was not present, it could not be ascertained if the information was received by him. The appeal was accordingly adjourned.

The appeal again came for hearing before the SIC on 12-04-2018. Both appellant as well as respondents were present. That appellant acknowledged the receipt of the information send by the PIO on 04-12-2017 through registered post. However, he submitted that the said information did not contain response in respect of point No- 5 and 7 of his RTI application. the respondents on the other hand submitted that the said response is also a part of the information furnished to the appellant. The respondents produced the copy of the information sent to the appellant which was perused by the SIC and it was found that information in respect of point no- 5 and 7 was included therein. However, the respondents provided another copy of the information pertaining to these points to the appellant during the hearing.

Since the entire information has been furnished to the appellant by the PIO and the appellant is also satisfied with the said information, nothing further survives for adjudication before the SIC.

The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

(Mohammad Ashraf Mir),  
State Information Commissioner,  
J&K State Information Commission.

\*/imi/\*